

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,112	09/11/2000		Arthur Cameron Wilson	9D-RG-19254	4522
7	7590 (07/08/2003			
John S. Beulick				EXAMINER	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square St. Louise, MO 63102			COCKS, JOSIAH C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				3743	
				DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	٢ (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			111
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	_	09/659,112	WILSON, ARTHUR CAMERON
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Josiah C. Cocks	3743
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the next patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)⊠	,—	This action is non-final.	ore, proceedation as to the marite is
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
•	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 14-19</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
• •	ion Papers	anta a a	
•—	The specification is objected to by the Exar		to the by the Everyiner
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2003 is		
441	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on _		
' ')	If approved, corrected drawings are required		Sapprovod by the Examine.
12\[The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
,—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	• -	
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
, —	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reigh phoney under do d.d.d. 3	(15(4) (4) 5. (1).
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		plication No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
* (application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
	 The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor 		
Attachmer	-		
1) 🔀 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and PTO-326 (R	Frademark Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Application/Control Number: 09/659,112

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 4/24/03 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The formal drawings filed 1/2/03 are accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Koziol* (US # 4,848,217).

Koziol discloses in Figures 1-5 an oven rack substantially as described in applicant's claims 1 and 3-8 including a first portion (first downward sloping section of V-shaped grid) and a second portion (second upward section of V-shaped grid). While not expressly disclosed as extending obliquely, the second portion of the generally V-shaped construction (see col. 1, lines 55) is regarded by the examiner to be the equivalent of "extending obliquely" as recited in applicant's claim 1. Koziol further discloses a support system including at least a first leg (23) and a second leg (17) rearwardly displaced from the first leg wherein the first and second legs

extend a substantially equal distance from the first portion (see Fig. 1). *Koziol* also discloses multiple members (13) that extend from the first side of the first portion. These members (13) would function to allow a user to grasp the rack and regarded by the examiner as handles. *Koziol* also discloses that the first portion includes a plurality of first rods (12) and second rods (15 and 16) attached substantially perpendicularly to the first rods wherein the first and second rods are substantially co-planar on the first portion of the V-shape of the grid.

In regard to claim 8 and the recitation that the rack is "configured to be used as a trivet on a countertop," is simply a statement of intended use and given no patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the rack of *Koziol* would function as a trivet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,112

Art Unit: 3743

6. Claims 9-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Koziol* (Us # 4,848,217) as applied above and further in view of *Carpenter et al.* (US # 3,266,484).

In regard claims 9-12 and 14-19, while *Koziol* does not expressly disclose an oven with a cooking chamber comprising a bottom surface and at least three sides, *Koziol* does clearly indicate that the cooking rack is intended to be used in an oven (see Abstract) and would placed on the bottom surface thereof. It is well known in the art that ovens conventionally include cooking chambers with a bottom surface and at least three sides. *Carpenter et al.* is cited to show such conventional oven structure including a bottom surface, at least three sides, and a door hingedly attached to the cooking chamber (see Fig. 1). Placing the oven rack of *Koziol* in a conventional oven, such as that of *Carpenter et al.*, would result in an oven rack that is configured to be stabilized against the oven door and fit within the combustion chamber. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the cooking rack of *Koziol* would be placed on the bottom surface of an oven, such as that disclosed in *Carpenter et al.*, for the purpose of supporting items to be cooked in the oven.

In regard to claim 19 and the recitation that the rack is "configured to be used as a trivet on a countertop," is simply a statement of intended use and given no patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use,

Application/Control Number: 09/659,112

Art Unit: 3743

then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the rack of *Koziol* would function as a trivet.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on pages 5-6 that *Koziol* does not show an oven rack having first and second legs as claimed by applicant. However, as noted in item 4 above, *Koziol* is regarded by the examiner to disclose an oven rack including all the limitations claimed by applicant.

Applicant also argues on pages 4-6 of the response that the cited art does not show an oven rack having first and second portions in combination with an oven having a bottom surface and at least three sides. Such an argument is not well taken. As noted in items 4 and 6 above, *Koziol* clearly teaches an oven rack as claimed by applicant for use in a conventional oven.

Carpenter et al. is cited merely to show that a conventional oven is well understood in the art to include a bottom surface and at least three sides.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/659,112

Art Unit: 3743

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Irwin and Romero are included to further show the state of the art concerning rack

structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0450. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Bennett, can be reached at (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for this

Group are (703) 308-7764 for regular communications and (703) 305-3463 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

icc

June 27, 2003

PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743